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Abbreviations:
CER: Consensus Evaluation Report
IEE: Independent External Expert
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Remote evaluation

Start evaluation
Performed remotely (virtually).

How?

Login to e-COST
> Pending Tasks
> oc-20XX-1 – External Expert
> Consult.
Accepting terms & conditions

Confirm that you don't have any conflict of interests

Evaluation Terms and Conditions

- I confirm
- I do NOT confirm
Access the proposal & evaluation form

Click on the middle icon to open the evaluation form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To be evaluated</td>
<td>View proposal</td>
<td>Access evaluation form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation form in PDF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And start evaluation
### Eligibility check

A. Click on the “x” to open interface

All questions need to be completed. The triangle indicates the current position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>S&amp;T Excellence</th>
<th>Networking Excellence</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Q1 Q2 Q3</td>
<td>Q4 Q5 Q6</td>
<td>Q7 Q8 Q9</td>
<td>Q10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X X X</td>
<td>X X X</td>
<td>X X X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Answer eligibility questions.

Is any part of the proposal written in a language other than English?

Is the technical annex of the proposal longer than 15 pages?

Is there a breach of anonymity?
**Evaluation of each proposal**

For each question, you must:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Select one of the six statements reflecting the evaluation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Fill in the comment boxes: 2 case scenarios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Proceed with the 10 questions. By providing coherent and consistent comments, that match the evaluation statement and the evaluation question.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case 1:**
Only one comment box is displayed

**Case 2:**
Two comment boxes are displayed

---

**S&T Excellence → Soundness of the Challenge**

**Q1. Does the proposal demonstrate a comprehensive command of the state of the art in the field and present a relevant and timely challenge?**

- The proposal addresses this question in an excellent manner.
- The proposal addresses this question in a very good manner.
- The proposal addresses this question in a good manner.
- The proposal addresses this question in a fair manner.
- The proposal addresses this question in a poor manner.
- The proposal fails to address the question under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.

---

**Evaluation of each proposal**: 5
Evaluation of each proposal

D. Save your comments for each question.

E. Submit your IER.

The “Submit” button is available only upon completion of the eligibility and 10 evaluation questions, as shown.

After the deadline, the IER is locked and can no longer be modified. If an IER is not completed and submitted before the deadline, the involved IEE will be removed from the evaluation process of this specific proposal.
Preparation of remote Consensus Evaluation Report (CER)

A. The appointed Rapporteur addresses all the evaluation questions of the CER.

COST appoints a Rapporteur from one of the 3 assigned IEEs. The Rapporteur coordinates the preparation of the CER based on the 3 submitted Individual Evaluation Reports (IERs).

B. The Rapporteur and other IEEs keep the RP Member in the loop of all pertinent discussions in preparation of the CER.

COST assigns a Review Panel (RP) Member to the proposal under evaluation for a preliminary revision and quality check of the CER.

C. The Rapporteur completes and saves all mandatory sections of the ten evaluation questions.
The Rapporteur clicks on the “Notify Evaluators” button, in order to share the draft CER with the two other IEEs and the RP Member for their revision, further discussion and feedback:

The Rapporteur can revise the CER and notify as many times as necessary its content to the two other IEEs and the RP Member.

Once the discussions are finalised, and prior to the deadline for voting:

The Rapporteur submits the final version of the CER for voting by the two other IEEs and the RP Member.

The “Submit” button becomes active only after the Rapporteur has notified at least once the draft CER to the other IEEs and the RP Member of this specific proposal.
**Voting on the CER**

Your vote is confidential and is not displayed to the other members of the evaluation panel.

**A** The IEEs and the RP Member vote the CER by selecting one of the two categories as shown to the right (approve or NOT approve). A non-approved CER requires a justification.

**B** Consensus is not imposed. But in case of non-approval of the CER by either an IEE or the RP Member, a justification must be provided. After providing a vote, the IEE will immediately receive a confirmation email in their email account registered in e-COST.

**My Consensus Evaluation Vote**

- I approve the Consensus Evaluation Report
- I do NOT approve the Consensus Evaluation Report

Submit

**Justification**

(Justification is needed if the IEEs and/or RP member do not approve the CER)

250 words limit

Submit